

# Co-Integration Approach to Analysing The Impact of External Debt Management on Economic Growth of Nigeria

Biradawa Kayadi<sup>1</sup>, Confidence Chinwe Opara<sup>2</sup>,  
Christy Twaliwi Zwingina<sup>3</sup>, Udeme Okon Efanga<sup>4</sup>

- 1). Department of Banking and Finance, University of Maiduguri
- 2). Department of Banking and Finance, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike
- 3). Department of Business Administration Bingham University Karu Abuja
- 4). Department of Banking and Finance, University of Calabar, Nigeria

## Abstract:

This study examined the impact of External debt management on economic growth of Nigeria. Using annual time series data collected over the period of 33 years (1986 – 2018). The data for the study were collected from the CBN statistical bulletin annual report. The variables on which data are collected include: Real Gross Domestic Product, External Debt, External Debt service, Balance of Payment and Exchange Rate. Data were analyzed using the Ordinary least squares (OLS) multiple regression analysis. It proceeded with Descriptive statistics; Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) unit root test, Co-integration test and Auto-Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL). The study revealed that impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria over the period under review was statistically significant with external debt, external debt service payment and balance of payment but statistically insignificant with exchange rate. The study recommended that governments should establish and adopt an optimal balance between external debt acquisition and application /allocation of the acquired funds to productive projects for the purpose of making a high output and a steady economic growth. The management should live up to expectation by encouraging efficient commitment of borrowed funds to productive projects so as to comply with debt serving agreement and outright payments, measures such as improving exports should be implemented to ensure that local currencies are stable.

**Keywords:** External debt, External debt management, External debt service payment, Balance of payment, Exchange rate, Economic growth.

## How to Cite:

Kayadi, B., Opara, C. C., Zwingina, C. T., & Efanga, U. O. (2021). Co-integration approach to analysing the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria. *International Journal of Finance Research*. 2(2). 111-128. DOI. <https://doi.org/10.47747/ijfr.v2i2.336>

## 1. Introduction

It is in the habit of developing countries to borrow fund for developments needs. Nigeria as a developing country source for external fund since domestic fund is insufficient to meets the

needs for economic growth. External debt is simply a part of a country's debt that was loaded from overseas lenders together with commercial banks, Government and international financial institutions. The inability to utilize the debt on productive projects that will yield positive output and to efficiently meet the requirements of servicing the debt is some of the major problems face by Nigeria. External debt appears to be a general occurrence in recent time due to its accumulation, especially for the emerging nations which are at the stage of economic recovery and development where the supply of internal savings are not enough with high current account payment deficit and in-depth need of import of capital to increase domestic resources. The essence of external debt is to enable countries without sufficient internal financial resources source for foreign aid (Noko, 2016).

Nigeria's external debt management is one major macroeconomic problem both to the economic agent particularly at the beginning of the years of her independent (Ogege, and Ekpudu, 2010). In spite of many efforts made to control and reduce debt on Nigeria's economy by the Government over the years. Such include the deliberate application of essential resources towards debt servicing, the renewal of the terms of loans and the alteration of structure to debt conversion programme. One particular interest of the government is on the pressure caused by the country's debt compared in the direction of the nation's money owing overhaul capability (Noko, 2016).

Foreign borrowing can be beneficial in providing the resources necessary to prop up economic increase and development, it has its salient detrimental costs (Uma, Eboh and Obidike, 2013). These costs gradually outweigh the benefits for many developing nations. The major cost connected with the accumulation of a huge external debt is "debt serving". Debt servicing is the payment of cash of the principal and piled up interest. Balance due servicing is a contractually stable exchange on domestic real income and savings as the debt becomes bigger or as interest rate increase. With the purpose of saying, debt servicing requirement can be carried out through export earnings (Kehinde, 2012).

The genesis of external debt of Nigeria was in 1958 as 28 million US dollars was borrowed from the World Bank intended for railway building. Within the interval of 1958 as well as 1977, the call for external debt was not a lot. The decrease in oil prices in 1978 caused a negative influence on the finances of the government. The government had to borrow to be free from the difficulties of balance of payment and to finance some projects. 1 million U.S dollars which was said to be a jumbo loan was the first money borrowed by the government from the global capital market (GCM) but in 1978 it increased to 2.2 million U.S dollars.

From then on the rate of lending increased which leads to external debt contractual requirement by the state government. As stated by Debt Management Office (DMO), Nigeria sustained external debt of ₦17.3 million in 1980. In order to resolve the problem, various external debt-financing options were taken to consideration in the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) in 1986. Since the introduction of this programme, Nigerians have been falling into one difficulty after another starting from the devaluation of the country's currency (naira) through Second Tie Foreign Exchange Market (SFEM) now Foreign Exchange Market (FEM) to the increasing prices of inflation goods such as raw materials and agricultural products etc.

Prior to the \$18 million debt termination approved for Nigeria in 2005 by Paris Club, the external money owing of the country was close to \$40 million with over \$30 million of the sum being payable to Paris Club alone. The history of Nigeria's enormous debts can barely be separated from its decades of misrule and the continued irresponsibility of its rulers. In 1971 Nigeria's debt stock amounted to \$1 million. Within 1991, it rise to \$33.4 million, and instead of decreasing, increase has been its state, especially the unquenchable desire of political leaders to acquire loan for carrying out uncertain projects and also with the undefeatable system of debt servicing.

Nigeria was to disburse a huge sum of \$4.9 million each year lying on debt service prior to the debt termination deal (Aluko and Arowolo, 2010). Such indebtedness would have made it impossible to attain exchange rate constancy or a few significant growths in the country. The consequence of the Paris Club debt termination was instantaneously observed in the chronological decrease of the Nigeria's exchange rate in comparison with the US Dollar from 130.6 Naira within 2005 up to 128.2 Naira in 2006, and decreased down to 120.9 in 2007 (CBN, 2009). Even as at that, the economic increase by Nigeria has been contradictory in the period of post-debt relief as it plunged from 6.5% in 2005 to 6% in 2006 and subsequently increased to 6.5% in 2007 (CBN, 2008). It was expected that as the year goes by, the benefits for the termination of debt will manifest. Instead it was cleared up in 2009 via the international financial and economic disaster, which was impulsive in August 2007 by the disintegration of the major lending market in the United States. The consequence of the catastrophe on swap over rate of Nigeria was unusual as the Naira exchange rate in comparison with the US Dollar rise astronomically from about N120/\$ in the last quarter of 2007 to more than N150/\$ (about 25% increase) in the third quarter of 2009 (CBN, 2009). This is attributed to the sudden drop in foreign earnings of Nigeria as a consequence of the constant fall of crude oil price, which plunged from US\$147 per barrel in July 2007 and by December 2008 the price for a barrel was as low as US\$45 (CBN, 2008).

Nigeria's external debt stock was increasing subsequent to the debt termination in 2005; available statistics is an evidence of this. Nigeria's external debt outstanding rose in the year 2006 to the year 2009 with the following figures \$3,545 million, \$3,654 million, \$3,720 million \$3,947 million respectively and so it continues to increase to date. (CBN, 2018). The study therefore empirically ascertained the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria within the period of 33 years (1986 – 2018).

The Nigeria's debt profile despite cancellation of some external debt by London club, have remain worrisome in the minds of researchers and policy makers. Prior studies on the related subject matter appear to have laid more emphasis on positive significant of external debt at the cost of its negative significant on the economic growth of Nigeria. This outcome leads to imbalance in such empirical studies and therefore constitutes a lacuna for the present study.

One of the problems faced by Nigeria in her external debt management is the inability to utilize the debt properly for economic growth. Due to corruption the debt is been converted to unnecessary projects that its output will not be enough to cover the principal payment and the interest. The consequence of this is that Nigeria economy could not get to the height it ought to

reach. This plainly explains that the utilization of loan is what matters. Borrowing to fund government expenses is not bad but what the debt is spent on and the mode of debt serving is the issue of concern.

According to Noko, (2016) debt does not essentially mean a slow growth of an economy; it is a nation's incapability to comply with debt service requirements coupled by insufficient awareness on the whatness and structure of the debt as well as the money the nation is required to pay raise hardship in the economy and woes in the nation.

This is another important call out developing nations are facing, including Nigeria. The incapability of Nigeria as a country to successfully meet up with its debt servicing necessities/payments has exposed the country to a huge responsibility of debt service. The consequences of this debt service responsibility causes further problems for the country, in addition to a rise in the fiscal short fall also which is caused by debt servicing level. This causes a severe risk to the economy as a huge sum of the nation's income has gone to waste. Meanwhile, despite the substantial in literature on the subject matter, earlier studies remained silent on the significant of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria.

It is on this premise that this study is set to evaluate the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria within the past 33 years.

## **2. Literature Review**

### *2.1 Conceptual framework*

External debt is defined as that fraction of money owing by a country which was borrowed from other countries lenders such as commercial banks, international financial institutions or government. The necessity of External debt was due to insufficient domestic financial resources of a country to fund public goods that would raise the welfare of the nation and stimulate economic growth (Ademola, Olaleya, and Olusiyi 2013). External debts are finances source from outside the nation's resident which is mainly in foreign currency with interest to finance précised project.

Momodu (2012) states that the Asian Tigers- Malaysia, Singapore, Indonesia and Taiwan and South American country, Brazil proved this theory to be significant in their country. These counties were developed through the proper implementation of external debt.

External debt is termed as an undesirable significant on the economic growth and development of a country, for instance at a stage when the debt could not be paid let alone the interest. The debt then became a burden to the country thereby bridging economic growth. Debt servicing reduces funds that a country has for investment purpose.

In debt management the following rules regarding to debt ought to be considered, total debt to local revenue ratio, debt to gross domestic product ratio.

External debt service refers to the maintenance accorded to the borrowed fund so as to keep the repayment agreement fresh in the mind of the borrower (Safadariand Mehrizi, 2011). It is the agreed interest rate paid to the lend In like manner, balance of payments simply means an orderly

record of financial transactions of a nation / economy for a specific era of point in time, typically one year, between residents of a nation and non residents all over the world. These transactions involve goods and services, changes in claims of the liabilities all over the world, the prerequisite and accepting of authentic assets and income. Especially, the records transactions of balance of payments on goods and services as well as income, ownership change as well as added changes in an economy's holdings of Special Drawing and monetary gold's (Obudah, and Tombofa, 2013).

Atique and Malik (2012) opined that some countries with a weak balance of payments position will eventually experience low growth in the economy because of low turnout of local industries; this may be viewed as too costly from a political or social point of view. They stress that an efficient and appropriate administration of balance of payments can be very useful to all developing nations in a way to make sure the contentment of fundamental needs, general resources mobilization and to ensure permanent and equilibrium of payment viability. Amassoma, (2011) observed that nations undergoing problems within balance of payments should strictly boost local production so as to produce for exports and encourage gradual appreciation of her currency to result to a change on the expenditure problems.

#### 2.1.1 Concept of debt

According to Cambridge Academic Content Dictionary, Debt is the amounts of money that is owed by a person, company, country, etc and that is usually have to pay interest on. It is a condition in which an individual or a nation is owing something, especially money that is still to be paid to someone else. Oyejide, Soyede and Kayode (2011) posited that Debt is seen like the resource or cash used in a business / society so as to not contribute via its vendor by means of and does not in any supplementary way fit in to them. Debt is also a liability that is represented by a monetary tool or other recognized equivalent.

Debt, be it internal debt or external debt could be classified into two such as:

Productive debt and

Dead weight debt.

**Productive debt:** This refers to finance that is gotten to facilitate the country purchase some kind of assets that will be use for economic development. Example is loan obtained for acquiring refineries, electricity, factories, and other valuable assets.

**Dead weight debt:** This refers to as a loan obtained from foreign countries for war and also expenses on current expenditure. Once a nation obtain a advance from foreign nations, it means that a nation can import from foreign nations goods and services in the direction of the rate of the money that was borrowed, and equally export something of importance in exchange. Once it is time for the repayment of the capital and interest, that same nation has to go through the trouble of exporting of goods and service without getting any kind of imports in exchange. In the case of these two classes of debt mentioned and discussed, the borrowing countries (borrowers) future savings is required to cover the repayment of the capital and the interest as well. Therefore, any investment financed through debt should be very productive and should be managed very well



enough as to yield a very high profit that will be higher than the debt servicing cost (Ajayi and Oke, 2012).

Without regard to danger or safety, Nigeria in her quest to finance economic growth embarks on foreign loans in a rigid condition. Yet all these efforts and requirements taken by Nigeria such as devaluation *inter alia* could not increase the value of productivity Nigeria's ability to pay the loan and resulted to what could be termed as external debt crisis (Umaru, Hamidu and Musa, 2013).

#### 2.1.1.1. external debt

External debt is assumed to be the funds of money employ in a country which is not generated within and does not in any way come from any common people, whether corporate or person (Ogbonna and Appah, 2016). posited external debt is that section of a nation's debt which is gotten from overseas sources such as overseas corporations, government or global financial institutions. Those loans, as well as interest must usually be paid in the currency in which the loan was acquired. External debt is that part of the sum money owing of a country which is due to creditors in another nation.

When home savings is not enough to fund investment, there is call for external debt to link the gap between home savings and investment. Therefore CIA world fact book (2018) posit that External debt gives the whole public and personal debt payable to non-residents repayable in globally conventional currencies, goods or services. The figures are calculated based on the exchange rate basis, i.e., not in purchasing power parity (PPP) form.

#### 2.1.1.2 external debt management

Ogbonna and Appah (2016) posited External debt Management to be gamut of institutional and technical arrangement in organizing the liabilities of a country so that the debt service burden is kept within sustainable level.

The core objective of management of external debt is to make sure that the governments financing desires and its payment obligations are met at the buck probable cost above the intermediate to long run, steady with a careful degree of peril (Guidelines for public debt management).

Bhatia (2008) suggest that external debt management refers to as the establishment of the conditions of issue and emancipation of public securities/foreign loans. It entails the method of administering the external public debt that is provide for the payment of interest and arrange the refinancing of maturing bond/ debt. It involves a cognizant and cautiously planned timetable to the gaining deployment underlying rule or to keep up the balance of payments. It also involves how it is administered to avoid unfavorable economic debt and non government debt is involved.

External debt management comprises of three definite interrelated processes.

Selecting the proper financing

Deciding how much to borrow and

Keeping absolute up – to date records on debt.

### 2.1.1.3 external debt service payment

World Bank (2013) explained exterior debt service payment as the totting up of most important repayment and interest repayments at the specified year. External debt service payment refers to imbursement in respect of mutually principal and interest. Real debt service is the set of payment s really made to please a debt responsibility, including principal, interest and any late imbursement fees.

Exterior debt service payment comprises of two terms of payments such as:

The long term external debt service payment

The external debt service payment can be in short term,

The lengthy term external debt service payments are debt that has original or external ripeness of more than one year and is payable to non – resident by resident of an economy and repayments in alien currency, goods or service and interest in arrears on long term debt. While the external debt service payment in short term are debt within external ripeness of one year or less.

Nigeria’s exterior debt service payment data was reported at 192.440 USD in December 2018. This records a reduce from the prior number of 849.973 USD mn for September 2018. Nigeria’s External debt service payment data is streamlined quarterly, averaging 95.286USD mn from March 2008 to December 2018, with 44 comments that data reach an all – time elevated of 849.973 USD mn in September 2018 and a documentation low of 47.998USD mn in June 2016. Nigeria’s External debt service payments data relics lively state in CEIC as well as is reported via Debt Management Office Nigeria (2018).

### 2.1.1.4 economic growth

According Jim Chappelow (2019) Economic growth is said to be a raise in aggregate production in an economy. Frequently, but not necessarily aggregate gains in production correlate by means of increase average marginal productivity. That leads to a raise in income, stimulating consumers to open up their wallets and buy additional, which means a top substance quality of life or standard of livelihood. Economic growth is an addition to in the formation of economic goods and services, compared from one era of time to another. It can be calculated in normal or actual (adjusted for inflation) terms. Traditionally, collective economic growth is calculated in requisites of gross domestic product (GDP) or gross national product (GNP) though alternate metrics are used sometimes

It also refers to an amplify in the standard of living in a nation’s population amid persistent growth from simple, low – income economy to an up to date high – income economy. It involves achieving a equilibrium in all sector of the Economy in the procedure of creation of goods and services be it agriculture, finance, manufacturing, health, education, etc (Jhingan ML, 2010).

Prateek Agarwal (2019) explains economic growth like in an economy by an outer shift in its production possibility curve (PPC). Economic growth is calculated by the increase in a country’s total output or Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) or Gross National Production (DNP). The Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of a country is the totting up value of every final goods and

services created inside a country over an epoch of time. Hence an increase in GDP is the addition to in a county's production.

## 2.2 Theoretical framework

There are several theories that contribute to the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria. However, this study is hinged on the dependency theory.

### 2.2.1. The dependency theory

In the 1770s the developing nations initiated the Dependency theory. This theory is derived on the supposition that income flows from outside boundary (periphery) of poor and not fully developed countries to rich countries thereby enriching the latter at the cost of the former. Dependency theory indicates that the poverty of the countries in the periphery is not because they are not coordinated or fully coordinated into the world system as is often debated by free market economists, but because of how they are coordinated into the system. From this point of view, a general school of thinking is the bourgeoisie scholars. To them, the state of underdevelopment and the steady reliance on countries that are not fully developed relying on developed countries are as an end result of their domestic mishaps. They believe this matter can be expounded by their deficient in of seal integration, dispersal of capital, low altitude of technology, reduced institutional framework, poor headship, dishonesty, mismanagement, etc (Momoh and Hundeyin, 1999). They see the under-development and reliance of the countries of the third world as being within inflicted relatively than outwardly afflicted. To this school of thinking, the alternative way to prevent the crisis is for third world countries to seek overseas support in terms of help, loan, investment etc. and permit free of disruption in the functions of the Multinational Enterprises (MNEs). Owing to the immature nature of most LDCs, they are reliant on the developed countries for almost the whole thing ranging from technology, aid, technical support, to way of life, etc. the reliant position of most small countries has made them open to the products of the Western municipal countries and Breton Woods institutions (Ajayi and Khan, 2011). The dependency theory gives a comprehensive account of the factors liable for the position of the rising countries and their steady and nonstop dependence on external aid for their economic development and development.

### 2.3 Empirical review

A number of researches have been approved reviewing the impact of external debt on the economy. Akram (2010) in the study of the impact of external debt on economic growth and investment in Pakistan developed a mixed model that clearly blends the function of external debt in the equations of growth. He adopted the Autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) technique in estimating the model and the analytical result showed that both domestic debt and external debt have a negative impact on per capita GDP and investment, therefore, there is an existence of "Debt overhang effect" which crowds out private investment.

Ogege and Ekpudu (2010) oversee the impact of debt burden on the Nigerian economy with the use of annual time series data from 1970-2007. Ordinary least square (OLS) method was adopted for the regression of data analysis and to test the hypotheses. The result showed a negative



relationship between debt stocks of internal and external; and gross domestic product, meaning that an increase in debt stock will lead to a decrease on the economic growth rate of Nigeria.

Ezeabasili, Isuand and Mojekwu,(2011) examined the correlation connecting Nigeria's external debt and economic growth between 1975 and 2006 applying econometric analyses. The outcome of the error correction estimates revealed that external debt has a negative correlation with the growth of Nigeria economy. They recommended that Nigeria government should put to consideration the ability to absorb note than considering low debt to gross domestic product (GDP) as well as low debt service. Also, future debt negotiation should be considered using GDP capacity ratios.

In a related study conducted by Amassoma (2011) investigating the fundamental nexus between external debt, internal debt, and economic growth in Nigeria, employed the causality test of Granger in determining the course of causality of the variables. He found that a bi-directional causal relationship exist between internal debt and Nigeria's economic growth, whereas external debt and the country's economic growth have a causal relationship that is unidirectional; suggesting that economic growth causes external debt in Nigeria.

Atique and Malik (2012) researched on the impact of domestic and external debt on the economic growth of Pakistan independently within the period of 1980-2010. The ordinary Least Square (OLS) approach was adopted, and also co-integration test. The result revealed a significant inverse relationship in both, that is, an opposite relationship among domestic debt and the nation's economic growth, and external debt and the nation's economic growth.

Similarly, Momodu (2012) looked into the affiliation between debt servicing and economic growth in Nigeria. The study required to find the affiliation among the Gross Domestic product (GDP) and Gross Fixed Capital Formation of Current Market Prices (GFCF). The Ordinary Least Square multiple regression method were employed for the analysis of data. The outcome of the analysis showed that there is a significant impact of debt payment to Nigerian creditors on the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Gross Fixed Capital Formation of Current Market Prices (GFCF).

Oke and Sulaiman (2012) oversee the correlation of external debt, economic growth level and investment volume in Nigeria designed for 28 years, starting from 1980 – 2008. The dependent variable in the study is the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) whereas, external reserves ratio to external debt, private investment, exchange rate, interest rate, debt service ratio and inflation rate served as the explanatory variable in the study. Multiple regression analysis was used for analyzing the data. From the result of analysis of data, The research result showed that there exist a positive relationship among external debt, economic growth and investment in Nigeria.

Kabadiya, Uzun and Karakoji,(2012) verified the impact of external debt on economic growth in 19 Transitional economies. The adoption of panel Autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model was applied. It was discovered that there is a positive and significant impact of external debt on economic growth, also on the long run a positive impact exist on the openness of the economy, whereas on the short run, external debt to export ratio a negative impact exist on the rate of growth of the transitional economies.

### 3. Research Methode

This research is designed to evaluate the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria from 1986 to 2018. The ex post facto (after - the- fact) research design was used for thirty-three years study period because secondary sources of date were explored.

#### 3.1 Model specification

The model adopted in this study is similar to that used by Ajayi, and Oke (2012), their empirical analysis of the Effect of external debt on economic growth and development of Nigeria. They presented the extent to which external debt and economic growth of Nigeria were related as a simple open macro-economic debt growth model. The utilitarian association among the variables is mathematically expressed as:

$$NI = F(DSP, EXTR, INTR)$$

The model engaged in their study include the following

$$NI = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DSP + \beta_2 EXTR + \beta_3 INTR + U \quad (3.1)$$

Where:

NI = National Income

DSP = Debt Services Payment

EXTR = External Reserves

INTR = Interest Rate

U = Stochastic error term

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  = slope of the regression equation.

This study employed with modification the model similar to the above authors. Subject to the agreement with previous empirical studies, our models were therefore specified mathematically as follows in relation to each of the hypotheses:

$$RGDP = f(EXD, EXDS, BOP, EXR) \quad (3)$$

Where;

RGDP = Real gross domestic product

EXD = External debt

EXDS = External debt service

BOP = Balance of payments

EXR = Exchange rate

Following little modifications made on the previous empirical model adopted the mathematical form of the equation to ascertain the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria is stated as follows:

$$RGDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 EXD + \beta_2 EXDS + \beta_3 BOP + \beta_4 EXR + e$$

Where:

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$  = the slope of the regression equation.

E = the error term.

In the equation, other variables remain as explained above.

The equations above were formed from determining the solution of the behavioral demand and supply function for the growth of Nigeria economy. It is expected that all the independent variables shall be positive and significant in the model.

#### 4. Result and Discussion

##### 4.1 Unit Root Test

Table 1 Unit Root Test Result (Summary)

Variables	Levels					1 <sup>st</sup> Differences					Order of Integration
	ADF t-stat	Critical Values			p-value	ADF t-stat	Critical Values			p-value	
		@ 1%	@ 5%	@ 10%			@ 1%	@ 5%	@ 10%		
RGDP	-2.79	-4.27	-3.55	-3.21	1.0000	-7.85	-4.28	-3.56	-3.21	0.0003	I(1)
EXD	-1.91	-4.28	-3.56	-3.21	0.6231	-5.59	-4.28	-3.56	-3.21	0.0269	I(1)
EXDS	-3.19	-4.27	-3.55	-3.21	1.0000	-5.80	-4.28	-3.56	-3.21	0.0299	I(1)
BOP	-3.00	-4.37	-3.60	-3.23	0.1497	-6.97	-4.37	-3.60	-3.23	0.0293	I(1)
EXR	-1.64	-4.27	-3.55	-3.21	0.7511	-5.06	-4.28	-3.56	-3.21	0.0015	I(1)

Source: E-views 9.0

Table 4.1 showed the unit root test result on all the variables and it indicated that they are non stationary in the same order. This is proven by comparing the values presented carefully in the statistical test of Augmented Dickey fuller (ADF) at 1 percent, 5 percent and 10 percent level of significance. From the test result, the variables showed differenced at once and at first difference, the variables are stationary. This means that, the variables were in the same order integrated I(1). Meanwhile, the result of the test had a mixed order of integration which necessitates the application of Auto-Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) cointegration approach to verify possible long run relationship.

#### 4.2 Co-integration test

Table 2. Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.900776	130.7288	69.81889	0.0000
At most 1 *	0.647420	59.10729	47.85613	0.0031
At most 2	0.458796	26.79045	29.79707	0.1069
At most 3	0.221362	7.757730	15.49471	0.4917
At most 4	3.99E-05	0.001238	3.841466	0.9713

Trace test point out 2 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level

\* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level

\*\*MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values

The results in Table 2 are estimated using Johansen co-integration approach. The long-run relationships among the variables were estimated over a thirty-three year period (1986-2018). The Johansen co-integration trace test result betokens 2 cointegrating equations at 0.05 level of significance. It implies that external debt variables and economic growth of Nigeria have a long run relationship within the reference period.

ARDL result on the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria. The regression result of ARDL is presented in Table 4.3 and discussion exhaustively:

Table 3 ARDL regression result

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.*
RGDP(-1)	0.490673	0.157205	3.121221	0.0262
RGDP(-2)	0.304642	0.208849	1.458669	0.2045
RGDP(-3)	-0.520908	0.184343	-2.825750	0.0369
EXD	0.909678	0.514275	1.768855	0.1371
EXD(-1)	0.865502	0.544784	3.588706	0.0130
EXD(-2)	-0.580277	1.090113	-0.532309	0.6173
EXD(-3)	0.048478	0.593532	0.081677	0.9381
EXD(-4)	-2.045316	0.830480	-4.462812	0.0070
EXDS	14.13705	6.010680	2.351988	0.0654
EXDS(-1)	4.915305	5.264859	0.933606	0.3934
EXDS(-2)	15.68350	5.792002	2.707785	0.0424
EXDS(-3)	22.48635	5.632838	3.992011	0.0104
EXDS(-4)	-14.16097	5.509409	-2.570326	0.0500
BOP	0.644525	0.208530	3.090810	0.0271
BOP(-1)	1.184858	0.282493	4.194287	0.0085
BOP(-2)	1.368991	0.445600	3.072245	0.0277
EXR	3.311399	16.83096	0.196745	0.8518
EXR(-1)	-16.31137	14.25764	-1.144044	0.3044
EXR(-2)	29.26955	23.57520	1.241540	0.2695
EXR(-3)	24.61201	15.03585	1.636888	0.1626

EXR(-4)	-14.38044	23.77993	-0.604730	0.5718
C	-410.3936	184.1927	-2.228067	0.0764
R-squared	0.732984	Mean dependent var		36055.83
Adjusted R-squared	0.729909	S.D. dependent var		39581.11
S.E. of regression	376.9102	Akaike info criterion		14.59921
Sum squared resid	710306.5	Schwarz criterion		15.73076
Log likelihood	-187.6885	Hannan-Quinn criter.		14.95359
F-statistic	13425.28	Durbin-Watson stat		2.252055
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

**Source:** Author's computation using E-view 9.0

E-view Auto- Regressive Distribution Lag (ARDL) calculate many diagnostic statistic; the coefficient, the coefficient of multiple determination ( $R^2$ ), the F-statistics, Durbin-Watson statistics and the probability values will be considered in the interpretation of result.

The Coefficient: Following the values of coefficient for external debt (EXD) in all the lags are 0.909678, 0.865502, - 0.580277, 0.048478 and - 2.045316. The value of coefficient for external debt service (EXDS) are 14.13706, 4.915305, 15.68350, 22.48635 and - 14.16097. The values of coefficient for Balance of payment (BOP) are 0.044525, 1.184858 and 1.368991. The values of coefficient for exchange rate are 3.311399, -16.31137, 29.26955, 24.61201 and - 14.38044. While the constant intercept (C) is - 410.3936. The value of - 410.3936 for C represents what economic growth (RGDP) output will amount to without External debt (EXD), External debt service (EXDS), Balance of payment (BOP) and Exchange rate (EXR).

The coefficient values of 0.909678 and 0.048478 for External debt (EXD) implies that holding other factors constant a unit raise in External debt leads to 0.909678 and 0.048478 percent increase in economic growth (RGDP). The same interpretation holds true for External debt service payment, Balance of payment and Exchange rate with positive coefficients values.

Still on the coefficient of External debt; the values of - 0.580277 and -2.045316 implies that a unit decrease in External debt leads to - 0.580277 and - 2.045316 percent decrease in economic growth (RGDP). Also the same interpretation holds true for External debt service payment, Balance of payment and Exchange rate with negative coefficient values.

The coefficient of multiple determinations ( $R^2$ ): The  $R^2$  value of 0.732984 means that the explanatory variables account for about 73 percent variation in economic growth of Nigeria. In other words, EXD, EXDS, BOP and EXR account for about 73% variation in RGDP.

The F-Statistics: The estimated F- value of 13425.28 is highly significant because the P- value is zero (0.000000). The null hypotheses can be strongly rejected which states that External debt, External debt service payment, Balance of payment and Exchange rate do not have significant impact on economic growth of Nigeria. In other words, all the variables are statistically significant on the growth of Nigeria economy.

Durbin – Watson statistic: Durbin – Watson statistic with the value of 2.252055 indicates absence of serial correlation in the error terms. This means the outcome of the regression should be relied upon.



The Probability value: Considering the value of probability at 5% significance level on the independent variable, EXD, EXDS and BOP. It means that they are statistically significant on the growth of Nigeria economy. While the probability value for Exchange rate is statistically insignificant on the growth of Nigeria economy.

#### Discussion of Results

The study being both quantitative and explanatory brought to bear the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria with the use of annual time series data within the period of 1986-2018. The study employed a multiple regression using ARDL model which made it possible to predict the prediction of the impact on the regressors and the regressand. The coefficients of the predictors which is significant at 5% level were mixed, i.e. both negative and positive showing the extent of impact the variables had contributed on Nigeria's economic growth.

Study over the years on the impact of external debt on the economic growth of a nation has aroused the interest of many scholars, even though the empirical results from a number of these studies are diverse in nature of uniformity. Our finding from the analytical result revealed that of the four independent variables tested, External Debt (EXD) and External Debt Servicing (EXDS) exhibited an impact that is statistically significant on Nigeria's economic growth proxy by (RGDP), although this relationship is heterogeneous across the various lags. The implication of this relationship is that strategic management of the various fund obtained through external debt at one time or the other has promoted economic productivity.

Furthermore, the External Debt Servicing (EXDS) have impacted statistically significant on the Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) of Nigeria. This is an evidence that the Nigerian economy has gained at some points in time following the debt serving in operation by the nation. This significant impact is appropriate with the study by Amassoma (2011). However, our result with significant impact for external debt accumulation is in variance with Ogege and Ekpudu (2010) who found that external debt accumulation and volatility of exchange rate has hindered growth in Nigeria.

Furthermore, from the findings, Balance of Payment (BOP) showed a significant impact on Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP), this means that within the reference period balance of payment have contributed meaningfully to the economic output in the country. Also Exchange rate (EXR) showed an insignificant relationship with Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP), this means that within the time frame covered on the study Exchange rate has not contributed meaningfully to the economic output in the country. The finding of insignificant contribution of exchange rate is appropriate with Kabadiya *et al.*, (2012) which also discovered a negative impact between exchange rate volatility and economic growth.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study ascertained the impact of external debt management on economic growth of Nigeria.

External debt management refers to as the establishment of the condition of issue and redemption of public securities/foreign loan. Its aim is to see that the need for borrowed fund by the government and the agreement of payment are done at a considerable cost on the long run,

consistence with wise degree of risk. On the other hand, economic growth is when the production of economic goods and services gets higher from a particular period to another period. Just as the economic growth of Nigeria is important so external debt and external debt management is an important component of the economic picture of Nigeria and failure to make repayment can cause serious economic upheaval. However the study was carried out to evaluate the impact of external debt management on Nigeria's economic growth.

From the study, result of findings revealed the outcome of all the variables on economic growth of Nigeria.

External debt exerted a significant impact on economic growth of Nigeria.

External debt service payment also shows a significant impact on economic growth of Nigeria.

In like manner, Balance of payment revealed significant impact on economic growth of Nigeria.

However Exchange rate is statistically insignificant in economic growth of Nigeria.

The empirical result reported that rise in external debt affect economic growth. The result found that not the variables were all significant on the model of economic growth within the reference period except exchange rate which was statistically insignificant, meaning that the contribution of exchange rate over these years has been minimal on RGDP. On the aggregate, there was strong influence of the external debt on the aforementioned country's economic growth. On this bases,  $H_{01}$ ,  $H_{02}$ , and  $H_{03}$  were rejected following the tested hypotheses from the regression result while,  $H_{04}$  was accepted.

Based on the results, summary of findings and conclusions discussed in the foregoing paragraphs, the government and policymakers in Nigeria should consider the following recommendations to improve external debt management for economic growth.

On Nigeria's external debt the governments should establish and adopt an optimal balance between external debt acquisition and application/allocation of the acquired funds to productive projects for the purpose of making a high output and a steady economic growth.

Furthermore, to maintain economic growth, Nigeria should not have high external debt (EXD) and low real gross domestic product (RGDP) as this will cause a risk of defaulting on external debt payments.

External debt service payments (EXDS) had positively and significantly impacted on the country's real gross domestic product (RGDP), which leads to economic growth of the nation Nigeria; it explains right application of the borrowed fund which encourages responsiveness of the government to service/pay back borrowed funds from external bodies. This study recommends that the management should live up to expectation by maintaining and encouraging effective and efficient commitment of money borrowed to productive projects so as to comply with debt servicing agreement and outright payments.

Balance of payment (BOP) also with positive impact on real gross domestic product (RGDP); has been an instrument in enhancing the nation's economic growth. The government should therefore produce enough economic output to maintain the payment for its growth, with improve

export performance and a lower income elasticity of demand for import, as a faster economic growth will be achieved.

The negative impact of exchange rate (EXR) on the nation's real gross domestic product (RGDP) if it continues will indicate no future hope for the Nigeria economy through productivity and currency appreciation. This is because economic productivity and exchange rate stabilization is central to effective foreign exchange policy formulation and debt management. This means that measures such as improving exports should be implemented to ensure that local currencies are stable. As a result, the value of foreign currency denominated external debt will not increase significantly as local currencies depreciate over time.

## References

- Ademola, I. S, Olaleya, S.O., &Olusiyi A. E (2013). External Debt and the Nigerian
- Ajayi SI, Khan MS. (2011). External debt and capital flight in sub-saharan Africa. IMF Working Paper.;68(5):1-18.
- Ajayi, L. B. &Oke, M. O(2012).Effect of external debt on economic growth and development of Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Science* 3 (12):297
- Akram, W. (2010).Impact of public debt on the economic growth of Pakistan. Centre for Poverty Reduction and Social Policy Development, Is Lambad.
- Aluko F.& Arowolo D. (2010). Foreign Aid the third world's Debt Crisis and implication for Economic developments. The Nigerian Expeience. African Journal of political science and International Relations. 4(4),PP 120-127
- Aluko F.& Arowolo D. (2010). Foreign Aid the third world's Debt Crisis and implication for Economic developments. The Nigerian Expeience. African Journal of political science and International Relations. 4(4),PP 120-127
- Amassoma, D., (2011). External debt, internal debt and economic growth bound in Nigeria using a Causality Approach.*Current Research Journal of SocialSciences*4
- Atique, R. &Malik,K. (2012). Impactof domestic and external debts on economic growth of Pakistan, *World Applied Science Journal*. 20(1):120-129.
- Ayadi, F.S. and Ayadi, F.O. (2008).The impact of External debt on Economic Growth. A comparative study of Nigeria and South African. Journal of Sustainable Development in African University of Lagos.
- Ceicdata .com. Nigeria.
- Debt Management office Nigeria (2018) Nigeria's External Debt service payment. www.
- Debt Management Office. Annual Reports and statement of account; 2014. Available: [www.dmo.gov.ng](http://www.dmo.gov.ng)
- economy.*International Journal of Humanities and Social science Invention*. 2

- Egbetunde T. (2012). Public debt and economic growth: Evidence from granger causality. *American Journal of Economics*. 2(6): 101-106
- Ezeabasili, V. N., Isu, H. O. & Mojekwu, J. N. (2011). Nigeria's external debt and economic growth: An error correction Approach, *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(5) May,1833 -8119.
- Jhingan, ML. (2010). Macroeconomic theory. 12<sup>th</sup> ed. New Delhi: Vrinda publications Ltd
- Jim Chappelow (2019) Economic growth definition. Investopedia updated 2019. [https:// www. Investopedia. Com / terms / e / economic growth.](https://www.investopedia.com/terms/e/economic-growth)
- Kabadiya, B., Uzun, A. and Karakoyi, C. (2012). The impact of external debt on economic growth in transitional economies. *Chinese Business Review* Vol. II
- Kehinde, J. S. (2012). Debt and debt volatility: Effect on economic growth in Nigeria. *Asian Eco & Fin Rev*. 2(2):325-329.
- Lawal,A.I; Atunde, I.O; Ahmed, V &Abiola.A (2016).Exchange rate fluctuation and the Nigeria Economic Growth. *EuroEconomica*, vol 35, No 2 (2016)
- Lora E. and Olivera M. (2016).*Public debt and social expenditure: Friends or foes?* Technical Report 563, Inter-American Development Bank, Research Department.USA.
- Momodu, A. A. (2012). Effect of debt servicing on economic growth in Nigeria *Reikojournals.org*
- Momoh A, Hundeyin T. (1999). Perspectives on political economy in Anifowose R, Enemufo F. (Eds). Elements of politics. Lagos: Malthouse Press Limited.
- Noko .E. J. (2016).Impact of external borrowing on Nigeria economy 1981-2016
- Obudah, B.C. and Tombofa, S.S. (2013). Effects of interest rate and debt on equity Investment.*American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*. 1.
- Ogbonna, G.N. &Apppah , E (2016). External Debt Management and Economic Growth in Nigeria: International Journal of African and Asian studies. ISSN 2409 – 6938. An International peer reviewed Journal Vol. 23, 2016
- Ogege, S. & Ekpudu, J.E.(2010). The effect of debt burden on the Nigerian economy-*Journal Of Research in National Development* 8(2).December.
- Oke, N.O and Sulaiman. L.A. (2012). External Debt, External Growth and Investment in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 4 No. 2.
- Prateek, Agarwal (2019).Economic growthfactors[https://www.intelligenteconomist.com/economic-growth.](https://www.intelligenteconomist.com/economic-growth)
- Rahman, M.M., Basher, M.A. and Dey, S. (2012). External debt and gross domestic product in Bagladesh. A Co-integration analysis. *Management research and practice*: 4
- Safadari, M. and Mehrizi, M.A (2011).External debt and economic growth in Iran. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*: 3.

Uma, K., Eboh, F., &Obidike, P. (2013). Debt and debt service: Implications on Nigerian economic development. *Asian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 2(2), 1-10.

#### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)